UNITED STATES TRADING COMPANY REDUNDANT WORKERS and THE

BOARD OF GENERAL APPEALS, Ministry of Labour, Respondents/Appellants, v.

UNITED STATES TRADING COMPANY, represented by its General Manager,

Informant/Appellee.

INFORMATION PROCEEDINGS.

Heard: July 10, 1986. Decided: August I, 1986.

1. While the right of appeal is guaranteed to every person against whom a final judgment is

rendered, it is also true that a decision of the. Supreme Court of Liberia is absolute and final

and that no appeal can be taken therefrom.

2. By appealing to the Board of General Appeals regarding how a Supreme Court mandate is

being carried out is essentially appealing the decision of the Supreme Court. However, there

should be redress where a Supreme Court mandate is improperly carried out in such a manner

to cause a party to suffer substantial injustice.

3. Where presentation of evidence is required in carrying out a Supreme Court mandate, the

one enforcing the mandate must receive evidence from both parties.

4. The taking of evidence referred to in a mandate does not mean re-opening a case that has

finally been decided by the Supreme Court. It is essentially a means of ensuring that the

mandate is carried out properly.

only received evidence from one party, the appellee company. The Chambers Justice ruled that in carrying out the mandate evidence should have been accepted from both parties. From this ruling an appeal was taken to the full Bench. After entertaining arguments, the Supreme Court *affirmed* the Chambers Justice ruling.

Francis Y. S. Garlawolu, J. Edward Koenig and J. Laveli Supurvood appeared for the appellants. S. Raymond Horace, Sr. and S. Raymond Horace, Jr., of the Horace & Horace Law Firm appeared for the appellee.

MR. CHIEF JUSTICE NAGBE delivered the opinion of the Court.

During the March A. D. 1985 Term of this Honorable Court, decision was rendered on June 21, 1986, in consequence of which, a mandate was sent to the Ministry of Labour, the relevant portion of which read as follows:

"1. To state in terms of dollars and cents the total amount which each of the fourteen workers and the four workers respectively, listed in the decision are entitled to for the three years and five years' salaries respectively, and deduct the redundancy pay allegedly received and show by calculation the balance due the workers.

2. To receive evidence of payment by the management of the notice pay and the redundancy pay, respectively, as claimed by management and denied by the workers. And it is hereby so ordered."

The Ministry of Labour having received the mandate, referred same to the hearing officers for

intervention into the manner in which the hearing officers were carrying out the letter of the mandate, particularly with respect to the receiving of evidence to "show by calculation the balance due the workers." Because of the appeal filed before the Board of General Appeals, appellee filed a bill of information before the Justice presiding in Chambers, His Honour Elwood L. Jangaba.

In count 4 of appellee's brief, it is therein stated in part that "while the right of appeal is guaranteed to every person against whom a final judgment is rendered, it is also true that a decision of the Supreme Court of Liberia is absolute and final and that no appeal can be taken therefrom. We agree with this assertion. Appealing from the hearing officers to the Board of General Appeals, in that connection, amounted to an appeal from the mandate of the Supreme Court. However, we also believe that in carrying out the mandate, evidence should have been received from both sides since the nature of the case makes that necessary. See U. S. Supreme Court Digest, Vol. 16, § 1754, p. 61-483.

According to paragraph 2 of the mandate, the hearing officers were to "receive evidence of payment." This means that both sides should have been involved in the process, especially since it was the issue related to calculations of payment which appellants were disputing. The taking of evidence referred to by the mandate does not mean reopening the cases but that such evidence as mentioned in the mandate relates to the payment of the amount actually due and payable to the workers.

In view of the foregoing, and in the interest of fair play, it is the opinion of this Court that the ruling of the Chambers Justice be, and the same is, hereby affirmed. Both the appellants and the appellee should be allowed to submit evidence in support of their respective position in fulfillment of the mandate. Costs to abide final determination. And it is hereby so ordered.